

-Validation in VET teacher education curricula



Nordplus

Timo Halttunen, University of Turku (FI)

VPL-Biennale Reykjavik 2022

Presenting the Nordplus Adult Program financed Mapping Study

Validation in VET teacher education curricula

NPAD-2021/10171


Educational Policies call for Validation Practices in Vocational Education and Training

- Global perspective: OECD (Werquin, 2010), UNESCO, Foundation European Centre for Valuation Prior Learning (EC-VPL), Biennale 2019, 2022
- European Perspective: European Union (Colardyn & Bjørnåvold, 2004), Cedefop (Cedefop 2009, 2019)
- Nordic Perspective: Nordic Council, Nordic Network of Adult Learning, NVL (Grunnet & Dahler, 2013, Nistrup et. al., 2017)
- *Competence-based approach links authentic working life experiences to learning occurring at an educational institution (Jonnaert et al., 2007) and promotes validation of competences acquired in different contexts.*
- *The Bologna Process and EU legislation are neither fully integrated into educational planning, nor are they geopolitically congruent (Davies, 2017). The discourse and terminology of competence-based orientation are heterogeneous and inconsistent, preventing the development of consistent competence validation and of process quality assurance (Brauer, 2021).*

Curriculum and Forms of Knowledge in Educational Research

- *The curriculum shapes the social meanings of knowledge in education (Apple 1993, Jones & Moore, 1993). Consequently, also the curriculum is shaped by the social world around education.*
- *The curriculum contains not only content knowledge, but elements of international educational policies, and standards (Wahlström & Sundberg, 2018).*
- *Learning research advocates that learning is a gradual process whereby understanding is built upon already existing knowledge (Niiranen, 2021).*
- *Development of a variety of cognitive skills and higher-order thinking skills is argued to be connected to their application in a practical context (Strimel 2019; Williams 2009, p. 248).*
- *Based on these policy texts and research contributions, our preassumption was that validation would be more visible in the curricular texts*

Validation in VE teacher curricula: the social environment present in our study



How are these educational policies reflected in Vocational Education Teacher training?

- *Research Question:*

- *How are future vocational education teachers prepared to understand the policies and practices in validation?*

- *Theoretical Framework:*

- *We approach the data, curricular texts in VE teacher training in selected HEIs in Denmark, Sweden and Finland, from a qualitative, socio-constructive approach.*
- *We examine positioning and framing of validation in the educational process and study the related articulation in the study modules or courses.*

Preliminary Findings: Expectations for Future VE teachers

- *At the level of VET education in general, International and national educational policies advocating working life orientation and validation in VET is reflected in legislation in the Nordic Countries. In Finland, this is imperative, in Sweden the legislative guidance leaves room for institutional decision making. In Denmark validation is obligatory for students over 25 years old. (Expectations from work context)*
- *At the level of VE teacher education level, Curriculum as an entity differs between the institutions: In Denmark and Sweden the national curriculum is translated into local providers' setting. In Finland, each institution has an institutional curriculum, which reflects mutually agreed guidelines between the institutions. (Preparation to work context)*
- *Curricula, or curricular texts vary also as textual forms, from a single document to module descriptions available on internet resources (databases), along with additional guidelines and instructions.*
- *Divergent use of concepts internationally and nationally.*

References

- Apple, M.W. (1993). The politics of official knowledge: Does a national curriculum make sense? *Teachers College Record* 95(2), 222-224.
- Brauer, S. (2021), "Towards competence-oriented higher education: a systematic literature review of the different perspectives on successful exit profiles", *Education + Training*, Vol. 63 No. 9, pp. 1376-1390. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ET-07-2020-0216>
- Cedefop (European Centre for the Development of Vocational Training) (2009). *European guidelines for validating non-formal and informal learning*. Luxembourg: Office for Official Publications of the European Communities.
- Cedefop, European Commission, ICF. (2019). European inventory on validation of non-formal and informal learning 2018 update: Synthesis report. http://libserver.cedefop.europa.eu/vetelib/2019/european_inventory_validation_2018_synthesis.pdf
- Colardyn, D. & Bjørnåvold, J. (2004). Validation of Formal, Non-Formal and Informal Learning: Policy and Practices in EU Member States. *European Journal of Education*, 39(1), 69–89. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0141-8211.2004.00167.x>
- Council of the European Union (2012). Council Recommendation of 20 December 2012 on the validation of non-formal and informal learning. Resolutions, recommendations and opinions 2012/C 398/01. *Official Journal of the European Union*. Brussels: Council of the European Union.
- Davies, H. (2017):Competency-based Curricula in the Context of Bologna and EU Higher Education Policy. *Pharmacy* 2017, 5, 17.
- Grunnet, H. & Dahler A. M. (2013). Quality model for Validation in the Nordic Countries: – a development project 2012–13. National Knowledge Centre for Validation of Prior Learning.
- Jones, L. & Moore, R. (1993). Education, competence and the control of expertise. *British Journal of Sociology of Education* (14)4, 385-397.
- Jonnaert, P., Masciotra, D., Barrette, J., Morel, D., & Mane, Y. (2007). From competence in the curriculum to competence in action. *Prospects*, 37(2), 187–203. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11125-007-9027-9>

References

- Niiranen, S. (2021). Supporting the Development of Students' Technological Understanding in Craft and Technology Education via the Learning-by-Doing Approach. *International Journal of Technology and Design Education*, 31(1), 81–93.
- Nistrup, U., Andersson, P. & Halttunen, T. (2017). Quality in Validation of Prior Learning: Experiences from Work with the Nordic Model for Quality in Validation of Prior Learning. NVL Nordisk nätverk för vuxnas lärande. <http://liu.divaportal.org/smash/get/diva2:1197887/FULLTEXT01>
- Strimel, G. J. (2019). Design cognition and student performance. In P. J. Williams & D. Barlex (Eds.), *Explorations in technology education research. Helping teachers to develop research informed practice* (pp. 173–192). Singapore: Springer. Virtanen, S., Räikkönen, E. & Ikonen, P. (2015).
- UNESCO (2019). Competency-based approaches. International Bureau of Education. <http://www.ibe.unesco.org/en/topics/competency-based-approaches> Retrieved April 19th, 2021.
- Wahlström, N. & Sundberg, D. (Eds.) (2018). *Transnational curriculum standards and classroom practices: The new meaning of teaching*. Abingdon, Oxon: Routledge.
- Werquin, P. (2010), *Recognising Non-Formal and Informal Learning: Outcomes, Policies and Practices*, OECD Publishing, Paris.
- Williams, P. J. (2009). Technological literacy: A multiliteracies approach for democracy. *International Journal of Technology and Design Education*, 19(3), 237–254.